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Abstract

Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) might be the proverbial "tipping point" in discussions about the role of information and communication technologies in higher education. To some they offer dramatic cost savings without loss in quality; to others they are best described as MESS (Massive Extension of Sage on the Stage). If the history of IS is any guide, MOOCs portend dramatic changes over time, but perhaps less dramatic changes in the short run. This panel discusses the MOOC phenomenon and its implications for both the IS field and for higher education.
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Introduction

NY Times columnist Thomas Friedman claims that MOOCs, massive open online courses, are revolutionizing higher education (Friedman, 2013). The question of whether MOOCs should be accredited precipitates far-reaching discussions of how teachers teach, how students learn, how (and even whether) degrees should be granted, and a host of other issues (MacKay, 2013). The Information Systems field is or ought to be at the heart of technology-centered discussions of educational challenges, as development, implementation, use and management of IT in relation to education is our field. However, very few IS researchers seem to have taken any role in this!

‘An Avalanche is Coming’ within higher education, (Barber, Donnelly & Rizvi, 2013) due to a series of challenges like rising costs of higher education, falling value of degrees, doubling of student debt to a staggering $1 trillion (USD), increasing awareness of the inadequacy of current learning models, global competition, and so on, involving government, employers, students, their families and policy makers. Information systems have had a deep influence on higher education, creating opportunities for the IS field. MOOCs provide but one example of potentially far-reaching changes. For example, if “standard requirements” can be established for MOOCs, as has already happened for education in medicine and auditing, institutions other than traditional colleges and universities might join the market. This could lead to radical disruption of higher education (Christensen, et al., 2011). There are many uncertainties, as well. Those outside the United States might worry that the U.S. will dominate the MOOC sphere, and there is no guarantee that MOOCs or the organizations that support them will survive as key agents of change.

MOOCs are attractive to university administrators because they seem to be a way to cut costs, and at the same time, they promise to improve learning by featuring courses taught by world-renown faculty. Yet MOOCs are not cost-free. The redesign of three basic courses at San Jose State University, led by Udacity, took 300 to 400 hours of work. EdX, another MOOC vendor, charges universities $250,000 (USD) to produce a single course for its platform (Fredette, 2013). Despite the costs, most universities offer
MOOCs free of charge to students. Such business plans (or lack thereof) cannot continue indefinitely. MOOCs have the potential to be disruptive technologies, but they may well be symptoms of larger structural changes and not the primary cause. By themselves, MOOCs are not sustainable. Instead, MOOCs may be a reflection of a much larger change: an expansion in the number of ways in which quality education can be delivered (what Selingo calls “college unbound.”) and in the variety of providers that will provide it.

This panel discusses the future of higher education from a global perspective, including but going beyond MOOCs to the question of what higher education might be like 10 years from now. Most of the constituencies of universities hold clear ideas about the value and purposes of education in general, and universities in particular. Not all envision a radical change for institutions of higher learning. These coming changes, both expected and unexpected, will be addressed in the panel. The panelists all have knowledge about on-line learning, and they incorporate the experience of people who teach MOOCs but cannot be at ICIS.

**Positions and Explorations**

John Leslie King will argue that changing agency relations have altered the university’s role in the state. While increasingly important to social welfare, higher education faces profound changes that leadership is not prepared for. MOOCs accelerate this. He incorporates direct experience from MOOC instructors Charles Severance and Hank Lucas, as well as findings from a report on alternative learning models for a presentation to AACSB-Europe (with Niels Bjørn-Andersen), to argue that MOOCs signal profound changes in both the value proposition of higher education and in the social contracts on which it is based.

Niels Bjørn-Andersen will argue that after more than 40 years of rather non-challenging developments within areas like computer based training, e-learning, virtual learning, etc., the advent of MOOCs with computer based tools for grading of assignments and ‘individual’ supervision of students is heralding a development which will lead to the demise of the Humboldt university as we know it. However, this will not take place over night. Universities are extremely resilient to change, and as long as we have the right to award degrees, and most of us have protected home markets, we are home safe. But that will not last, and the IS community should recognize the importance of this development, and be ready to spearhead this development. It is also clear that this development is far too important to leave to initiatives of US based companies and leading US universities.

Teo Hock-Hai will argue that while MOOCs and other technologies will have a far-reaching impact on university education, they are unlikely to usurp the roles of the “in-house” teacher and cause massive defections away from universities. However, that does not mean that “in-house” teachers do not need to adapt and learn the “new” tricks to connect and engage the new generation of Internet-smart students. He will argue that MOOCs provide an opportunity for the IS discipline to shape the future roles of universities and pioneer innovations in pedagogy and delivery through a researched, evidenced-based approach.

Joey George will argue that MOOCs threaten only a small part of what universities provide and symbolize. Universities mean different things to their various constituencies, and for many prospective students and their parents, courses and how they are offered mean little in their decisions about where to attend school. In the US, a much bigger threat is the changing revenue structure for universities. These changes in funding have implications for students, parents, administrators, and faculty. He will argue that, in the next few years, the marketplace for higher education will continue to shift, and students will pay for the product they value most (and will generally be able to afford).

**Panel Structure**

Each panelist will make at most a 10-minute presentation, focusing on the key points listed above. This will be followed by discussion with the attendees. About half of the panel time will be for discussion. Joey George will serve as moderator of this discussion.

In addition to taking questions from the audience about the panel presentation and the panelists’ views, we will also ask members of the audience to consider the following questions, which will be posted at the end of the panel presentation:
1) How are changes in higher education, such as MOOCs, affecting your institution and other institutions in your country?

2) Do you have any experience with MOOC–based instruction you’d like to share with the panel and the audience?

3) What is our role as IS academics in the debate over MOOCs and other changes in higher education?

4) To what extent should we as IS academics be involved in the development of technology platforms for implementing MOOCs and other innovations?

5) Should we be worried about these changes?
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